PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IPY-171				FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.				International filing da		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP2004/017257				19.11.200	4	20.11.2003				
Internati	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC									
H04	H04Q7/38 (2006.01)									
	Applicant NEC CORPORATION									
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2.	This R	EPORT consists	of a total of	8	sheets, including	t this cover sheet.				
3.	This re	port is also acco	mpanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:						
	a. >	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of 6	sheets, as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
	ь. [7	International i	Bureau only) a total of ((indicate type and number	of electronic carrier(s))				
				readable form only, a	s indicated in the Suppler	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
4.	This re	port contains inc	lications relati	ng to the following iten	ns:					
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	report						
		Box No. II	Priority							
		Box No. III	Non-establi:	hment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	\boxtimes	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention						
	\boxtimes	Box No. V		atement under Article 3 d explanations supportin		ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
		Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application										
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion of thi	s report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP					Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.					Telephone No					

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/017257

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report								
1.		th regard to the language, this report is based on the internatic icated under this item.	onal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise						
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)									
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:									
				as originally filed/furnished						
		pages*	-							
	\square	pages*	received by this Authority on							
		the claims:								
				as originally filed/furnished						
		nos.* 1,5,8,10,12,13,15,17,18,20								
		nos.*								
	\boxtimes	the drawings:	_ recerved by time rationally on _							
	<u></u>	sheets fig. 1-24		as originally filed/furnished						
		sheets*								
		sheets*	-							
	П	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplen		_						
3.	$\overline{\Box}$	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	2 0.1 1.1 mm. 2 00 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
٥.										
		de description de de l'état	the claims, nos the drawings, sheets/figs							
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	lments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since						
		the description, pages								
		the claims, nos.								
		the drawings, sheets/figs								
		the sequence listing (specify):								
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):								
*	If ite	tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."							

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017257

Bo	x No. I	V Lack of unity of invention
1.	\boxtimes	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		complied with.
	\boxtimes	not complied with for the following reasons:
		The "special technical feature" of the
		inventions set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, 13 and 18
		pertains to the call admission determinations that are
		associated with the bandwidth management function of
		the relay nodes, whereas the "special technical
		feature" of the inventions set forth in claims 4 to 7,
		9 to 12, 14 to 17, 19 and 20 pertains to generating an
		encryption key for encrypting the communication
		between a wireless base station and a relay node. Such
		being the case, the inventions in question do not have
		a technical relationship involving one or more of the
		same or corresponding special technical features;
		consequently, said inventions cannot be considered to
		be linked so as to form a single general inventive
		concept.
4.	Con	sequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	\boxtimes	all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos.

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP 2002-359881 A (Nippon Telegraph And Telephone Corp.), 13 December 2002, abstract; claims 1 and 5; paragraphs [0095] to [0105]; and fig. 6, 8 and 10

Claims 1 to 3, 8, 13 and 18

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, 13 and 18 are disclosed in document 1 (abstract; claims 1 and 5; paragraphs [0095] to [0105]; and fig. 6, 8 and 10) cited in the international search report; therefore, the inventions in question lack novelty and do not involve an inventive step.

In particular, document 1 indicates that the edge routers (9') receive the band control signals and make call admission determinations in order to allocate wireless resources (paragraphs [0095] to [0105]). Therein, it is apparent that said edge routers (9') account for all of the bands throughout the entire network to be managed

Claims 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16 and 19

The inventions set forth in claims 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16 and 19 are not disclosed in any of the documents that

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

are cited in the international search report, and would not have been obvious to a person skilled in the art.

In particular, the feature wherein the "preliminary shared key, which is necessary for the generation of the second encryption key, is dynamically generated by means of the mechanism for exchanging keys between the aforementioned wireless base station control station and the aforementioned wireless base stations" is not disclosed in any of the documents.

Claims 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 17 and 20

The inventions set forth in claims 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 17 and 20 are not disclosed in any of the documents that are cited in the international search report, and would not have been obvious to a person skilled in the art.

In particular, the feature wherein the "aforementioned private network is operated using an IP address system that is different from the IP address system between the aforementioned wireless base station control station and the aforementioned relay nodes, the aforementioned wireless base station control station and the aforementioned relay nodes conduct encrypted communication using first encryption keys, the aforementioned wireless base stations and the aforementioned relay nodes conduct encrypted communication using second encryption keys, the aforementioned second encryption keys are dynamically generated by means of the mechanism for exchanging keys between the aforementioned wireless base station control station and the aforementioned wireless base stations, and the aforementioned wireless base station control

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017257

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
station	communicates	the at	foreme	ntioned	second	encryption			
keys to	the aforement	cioned	relay	nodes"	is not	disclosed			
in any o	of the documen	nts.							

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017257

Box No. VII	I Certain defects in the international application								
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:									
	Figure	24	does	not	conform	to	the	disclosures	in
the	descripti	ion.							

PCT/JP2004/017257

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 11, 12, 16 and 17 do not mention a "private network," and thus the scopes of the claims in question include various mobile communication systems. However only the mobile communication systems involving a "private network" that are specifically set forth in the description are considered to be disclosed by the description in the meaning of PCT Article 5, and thus said claims are not fully supported by the description in the meaning of PCT Article 6.

Such being the case, a search was carried out in relation to the inventions that are disclosed and supported in the description, which is to say the mobile communication systems involving a "private network" that are specifically set forth in the description.